

Message Text

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 01 GENEVA 01260 01 OF 02 221411Z
ACTION L-03

INFO OCT-01 EUR-12 IO-13 ISO-00 CIAE-00 DODE-00 PM-04 H-01
INR-07 NSAE-00 NSC-05 PA-01 PRS-01 SP-02 SS-15
USIA-06 DHA-02 SAJ-01 ACDA-07 /081 W
-----221455 129806 /53
R 221340Z FEB 77
FM USMISSION GENEVA
TO SECSTATE WASHDC 5367
INFO AMEMBASSY MOSCOW

C O N F I D E N T I A L SECTION 1 OF 2 GENEVA 1260

E.O. 11652: GDS
TAGS: PARM, ICRC, SU
SUBJECT: U.S.-USSR CONSULTATIONS ON LAWS OF WAR

1. SUMMARY: U.S. AND SOVIET DELEGATIONS TO GENEVA CONFERENCE
ON LAWS OF WAR HELD BILATERAL CONSULTATIONS IN MOSCOW
ON FEB. 18. TALKS WERE CONDUCTED IN EXTREMELY FRIENDLY
AND COOPERATIVE ATMOSPHERE AND REVEALED SIMILARITY OF
VIEW ON VIRTUALLY EVERY SUBJECT, EXCEPT REPRISAL.
ON CONVENTIONAL WEAPONS ISSUES SOVIET POSITION HAS EVOLVED
CLOSER TO U.S. POSITIONS. IT WAS AGREED TO KEEP IN
TOUCH THROUGH U.S. EMB. MOSCOW ON FURTHER DEVELOPMENTS AND
ANY CHANGES OF POSITION BETWEEN NOW AND OPENING OF WORK
OF MAIN CONFERENCE COMMITTEES IN GENEVA ON APRIL 14.
END SUMMARY.

2. AS PREVIOUSLY ARRANGED, U.S. REPS TO GENEVA CONFERENCE
(ALDRICH, ANDERSON, REED, BOWDEN, AND STAPLES) VISITED
MOSCOW FOR BILATERAL CONSULTATIONS WITH SOVIET DEL.
ON FEB. 18. FRIENDLY ATMOSPHERE WAS FORESHADOWED
BY AIRPORT GREETING BY VIRTUALLY ENTIRE SOVIET DEL.,
LED BY AMB. GRIBANOV, AND CONTINUED THROUGH FULL
DAY MEETING INCLUDING LUNCH HOSTED BY MFA LEGAL
ADVISOR KHLESTOV. FACT THAT US DEL CAME TO MOSCOW
CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 GENEVA 01260 01 OF 02 221411Z

SEEMED MORE IMPORTANT TO SOVS THAN OPPORTUNITY
TO EXCHANGE VIEWS ON CONFERENCE AND SEEMED TO BE
TAKEN AS VERIFICATION THAT DETENTE STILL WORKING.
SOVS. SEEMED PARTICULARLY PLEASED BY ALDRICH STATEMENT
THAT HE HAD DISCUSSED TRIP WITH SECRETARY VANCE, THAT
SECRETARY HAD WELCOMED CONTINUED CLOSE U.S.-USSR
COOPERATION ON THIS SUBJECT, AND THAT SECRETARY HAD ASKED

ALDRICH TO MAKE CLEAR THAT, ALTHOUGH USG MUST CONTINUE TO SPEAK OUT FROM TIME TO TIME ON HUMAN RIGHTS ISSUES, WE DID NOT INTEND TO DO SO IN WAY THAT AMOUNTED TO ATTACK ON USSR. EMB. MOSCOW MAY WISH TO COMMENT ON THIS PART OF REPORT.

3. ON PROCEDURAL QUESTIONS, SOVS. SUGGESTED THAT (A) CONFERENCE BUREAU COULD MONITOR WORK OF MAIN COMMITTEES AND PROD WHERE NECESSARY, (B) TIME LIMITS SHOULD BE PLACED ON INTERVENTIONS, (C) SOME PROVISIONS (UNSPECIFIED) MIGHT WELL BE TRANSFERRED FROM FIRST TO THIRD COMMITTEES, AND (D) DRAFTING COMMITTEE SHOULD AVOID ANY CHANGES IN SUBSTANCE OF TEXTS. SOVS EXPRESSED HOPE THAT PROTOCOLS WOULD REMAIN OPEN FOR SIGNATURE FOR PERIOD OF SIX THROUGH TWELVE MONTHS, IMPLYING THAT USSR WOULD HAVE TO REVIEW DOCUMENTS CAREFULLY PRIOR TO SIGNATURE. U.S. DEL SAID THAT IT HOPED CONFERENCE WOULD CONCLUDE IN JUNE WITH SIGNATURE ONLY OF FINAL ACT AND THAT PROTOCOLS WOULD BE OPENED FOR SIGNATURE ONLY SUBSEQUENTLY. U.S. DEL NOTED THAT WE WOULD ALSO DESIRE 6-12 MONTH PERIOD IN WHICH TO MAKE FINAL DECISION ON SIGNATURE.

4. WITH RESPECT TO COMMITTEE ONE, SOVS CLEARLY UNHAPPY AT NEWS THAT OFSTAD LIKELY TO RETURN AS CHAIRMAN AND SUGGESTED THAT OUR TWO DELS WORK TOGETHER, AS WE HAD LAST YEAR ON ARTICLE 74 CONCERNING GRAVE REACHES, TO SETTLE COMPLEX COMMITTEE ONE ISSUES RESPONSIBLY. WE AGREED. IT WAS ALSO AGREED THAT CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 03 GENEVA 01260 01 OF 02 221411Z

EMPHASIS SHOULD BE GIVEN TO ADOPTION OF NECESSARY FINAL ARTICLES AND THAT RESERVATIONS SHOULD BE PERMITTED. WE ASKED SOV ACQUIESCENCE IN ARTICLE ON ENQUIRY COMMISSION IN RETURN FOR OUR ASSURANCE THAT WE WOULD MAKE ACCEPTANCE OF IT OPTIONAL. THEY SAID THEY PREFERRED NO ARTICLE ON THE SUBJECT BUT WOULD NOT OPPOSE AN OPTIONAL ARTICLE. ON REPRISALS, SOVS DISAGREED WITH US AND WILL CONTINUE TO OPPOSE FRENCH PROPOSAL FOR ARTICLE 74 BIS AND WILL PROBABLY OPPOSE EFFORTS TO DELETE DURING FINAL PLENARIES ANY SPECIFIC PROHIBITIONS OF REPRISAL.

5. ON COMMITTEE TWO, WE AGREED THAT RELIEF SEEMED TO POSE NO REAL PROBLEMS. WE GAVE THEM COPIES OF NEW NORDIC AMENDMENTS ON CIVIL DEFENSE AND TOLD THEM THAT THESE AMENDMENTS ENJOYED VERY BROAD SUPPORT AMONG WESTERN COUNTRIES. THEY AGREED TO COMMUNICATE TO US LATER THEIR VIEWS AFTER STUDYING THE TEXTS. IN INFORMAL SIDE DISCUSSIONS AT LEAST ONE SOV REP,

PROF. BLISHENKO, EXPRESSED AGREEMENT THAT ARMED CIVIL
DEFENSE PERSONNEL, WHETHER MILITARY OR CIVILIAN, COULD NOT RECEIVE SP
ECIAL PROTECTION IN AREAS OF
GROUND COMBAT

CONFIDENTIAL

NNN

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 01 GENEVA 01260 02 OF 02 221417Z
ACTION L-03

INFO OCT-01 EUR-12 IO-13 ISO-00 CIAE-00 DODE-00 PM-04 H-01
INR-07 NSAE-00 NSC-05 PA-01 PRS-01 SP-02 SS-15
USIA-06 DHA-02 SAJ-01 /074 W
-----221454 129900 /53

R 221340Z FEB 77
FM USMISSION GENEVA
TO SECSTATE WASHDC 5368
INFO AMEMBASSY MOSCOW

C O N F I D E N T I A L SECTION 2 OF 2 GENEVA 1260

6. ON COMMITTEE THREE, SOVS SAID THEY WERE PREPARED
TO ACCEPT WORKING GROUP PROPOSAL ON ARTICLE 42 CON-
CERNING GUERRILLAS AND HOPED THAT IT WOULD RECEIVE
PROMPT CONSENSUS. THEY EXPRESSED CONTINUING RESERVA-
TIONS ON MERCENARIES PROPOSAL, NOTING THAT DEFINITION
WAS KEY AND WAS EXTREMELY DIFFICULT. THEY SHARE OUR
CONCERNS ABOUT ANY PROVISION ON OIL INSTALLATIONS.
WE GAVE THEM AN INFORMAL DRAFT RESOLUTUION ON THIS
SUBJECT PREPARED BY GENERAL REED. THEY AGREED THAT
A RESOLUTION WAS PREFERABLE TO AN ARTICLE AND AGREED
TO COMMENT ON DRAFT AFTER FURTHER STUDY. THEY AGREED
TO US PROPOSAL TO MEET DURING CONFERENCE WITH SMALL
GROUP OF WEO REPS TO WORK OUT TEXT OF PROVISION (PRE-
FERABLY THEY SAID IN PREAMBLE) ON AGGRESSION AND NON-
DISCRIMINATION.

7. MOST INTERESTING RESULTS OF CONSULTATION CONCERNED
AD HOC COMMITTEE ON CONVENTIONAL WEAPONS. SOV
POSITION HAS HARDENED. ON SUBSTANCE, THEY STATED THAT
UK LAND MINE PAPER, WHICH ENJOYED BROAD SUPPORT AT LAST
SESSION, WAS UNACCEPTABLE BECAUSE NOT ALL COUNTRIES
HAD SELF DESTRUCT MECHANISMS, AND THEY
SAID THAT THEIR POSITION ON NAPALM HAD BEEN RECON-
SIDERED AND THAT THEY COULD NO LONGER SUPPORT A TOTAL
CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 GENEVA 01260 02 OF 02 221417Z

BAN ON ITS USE. THEY INDICATED GREAT INTEREST IN WHETHER U.S. COULD ACCEPT PARTIAL RESTRICTION ON USE OF NAPALM AND IMPLIED THAT THEY COULD IF WE COULD. ALDRICH SAID U.S. POSITION WOULD PROBABLY NOT BE CERTAIN UNTIL EARLY APRIL BUT EXPRESSED PERSONAL VIEW THAT DUTCH PROPOSAL RESTRICTING USE IN URBAN AREAS MIGHT BE ACCEPTABLE. ON THIS, AS ON OTHER ISSUES, WE AGREED TO KEEP IN TOUCH THROUGH EMB. MOSCOW BETWEEN NOW AND APRIL.

8. ON PROCEDURE OF AD HOC COMMITTEE, SOVS SAID THEY COULD ACCEPT FORMATION OF WORKING GROUP BUT WANTED IT TO MEET ONLY DURING PART OF THE CONFERENCE SESSION (PREFERABLY AFTER COMMITTEE THREE FINISHES ITS WORK). SOVS WANT PRODUCT OF COMMITTEE TO BE STATEMENTS OF PRINCIPLE, SHOWING AREAS OF AGREEMENT AND DISAGREEMENT, RATHER THAN DRAFT TREATY TEXTS, AND SUGGESTED THAT WE COULD, AS CONCESSION, AGREE TO A CONFERENCE RESOLUTION TRANSMITTING THESE PRINCIPLES TO GOVERNMENTS AND TO THE UNITED STATES.

COMMENT: US REPS THINK RESOLUTION PROPOSAL IS INTERESTING AND WILL DISCUSS IT WITH OTHER ESTERN DELS DURING LIAISON GROUP MEETING IN GENEVA ON FEB. 22. CATTO

CONFIDENTIAL

NNN

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X
Capture Date: 01-Jan-1994 12:00:00 am
Channel Indicators: n/a
Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Concepts: LAW, WAR, MEETINGS
Control Number: n/a
Copy: SINGLE
Sent Date: 22-Feb-1977 12:00:00 am
Decaption Date: 01-Jan-1960 12:00:00 am
Decaption Note:
Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 22 May 2009
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1977GENEVA01260
Document Source: CORE
Document Unique ID: 00
Drafter: n/a
Enclosure: n/a
Executive Order: GS
Errors: N/A
Expiration:
Film Number: D770061-0352
Format: TEL
From: GENEVA
Handling Restrictions: n/a
Image Path:
ISecure: 1
Legacy Key: link1977/newtext/t19770222/aaaaatjj.tel
Line Count: 200
Litigation Code IDs:
Litigation Codes:
Litigation History:
Locator: TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM
Message ID: d941f2c3-c288-dd11-92da-001cc4696bcc
Office: ACTION L
Original Classification: CONFIDENTIAL
Original Handling Restrictions: n/a
Original Previous Classification: n/a
Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Page Count: 4
Previous Channel Indicators: n/a
Previous Classification: CONFIDENTIAL
Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Reference: n/a
Retention: 0
Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED
Review Content Flags:
Review Date: 09-Mar-2005 12:00:00 am
Review Event:
Review Exemptions: n/a
Review Media Identifier:
Review Release Date: n/a
Review Release Event: n/a
Review Transfer Date:
Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a
SAS ID: 3267678
Secure: OPEN
Status: NATIVE
Subject: U.S.-USSR CONSULTATIONS ON LAWS OF WAR
TAGS: PARM, SU, US, UR, ICRC
To: STATE
Type: TE
vdkgvwkey: odhc://SAS/SAS.dbo.SAS_Docs/d941f2c3-c288-dd11-92da-001cc4696bcc
Review Markings:
Margaret P. Grafeld
Declassified/Released
US Department of State
EO Systematic Review
22 May 2009
Markings: Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 22 May 2009